



REPORT No. : SZ15100123S02

SAR TEST REPORT

APPLICANT : NOXTAK TECHNOLOGIES VBA.
PRODUCT NAME : ANTI RADIATION CARD
MODEL NAME : SPIRO CARD LEVEL 3
TRADE NAME : SPIRO CARD
BRAND NAME : NOXTAK
ISSUE DATE : 2015-11-17



SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.

NOTE: This document is issued by MORLAB, the test report shall not be reproduced except in full without prior written permission of the company. The test results apply only to the particular sample(s) tested and to the specific tests carried out which is available on request for validation and information confirmed at our website.

MORLAB GROUP

FL1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road,
Block67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen , GuangDong Province, P. R. China

Tel: 86-755-36698555
Http://www.morlab.com

Fax: 86-755-36698525
E-mail: service@morlab.cn



DIRECTORY

TEST REPORT DECLARATION.....4

1. TECHNICAL INFORMATION5

1.1 IDENTIFICATION OF APPLICANT.....5

1.2 IDENTIFICATION OF MANUFACTURER5

1.3 EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT)5

1.3.1 PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EUT5

1.4 APPLIED REFERENCE DOCUMENTS6

1.5 TEST ENVIRONMENT/CONDITIONS6

2. SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR).....7

2.1 INTRODUCTION7

2.2 SAR DEFINITION7

3. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP.....8

3.1 THE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM8

3.2 PROBE.....8

3.3 PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS10

3.3.1 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE10

3.3.2 FREE SPACE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE10

3.3.3 TEMPERATURE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE10

3.4 PHANTOM11

3.5 DEVICE HOLDER11

4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS12

5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT14

5.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR HANDSET SAR TEST14

5.2 UNCERTAINTY FOR SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK15



6. SAR MEASUREMENT EVALUATION.....17

6.1 SYSTEM SETUP17

6.2 VALIDATION RESULTS.....18

7. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST.....19

7.1 BODY-WORN CONFIGURATIONS.....19

7.2 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE19

7.3 DESCRIPTION OF INTERPOLATION/EXTRAPOLATION SCHEME.....19

8. TEST RESULTS LIST21

ANNEX A PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EUT22

ANNEX B GRAPH TEST RESULTS25

ANNEX C GENERAL INFORMATION32

Change History		
Issue	Date	Reason for change
1.0	2015-11-17	First edition

**TEST REPORT DECLARATION**

Applicant	NOXTAK TECHNOLOGIES VBA.
Applicant Address	J.E. Irausquin Blvd 12, Local #4, Oranjestad, Aruba
Manufacturer	NOXTAK TECHNOLOGIES VBA.
Manufacturer Address	J.E. Irausquin Blvd 12, Local #4, Oranjestad, Aruba
Product Name	ANTI RADIATION CARD
Model Name	SPIRO CARD LEVEL 3
Brand Name	NOXTAK
HW Version	N/A
SW Version	N/A
Test Standards	EN 62209-2: 2010;
Test Date	2015-10-22

Tested by : Liu Jun
Liu Jun

Reviewed by : Zhu Zhan
Zhu Zhan

Approved by : Huang Pulong
Huang Pulong



1. TECHNICAL INFORMATION

Note: the following data is based on the information by the applicant.

1.1 Identification of Applicant

Company Name:	NOXTAK TECHNOLOGIES VBA.
Address:	J.E. Irausquin Blvd 12, Local #4, Oranjestad, Aruba

1.2 Identification of Manufacturer

Company Name:	NOXTAK TECHNOLOGIES VBA.
Address:	J.E. Irausquin Blvd 12, Local #4, Oranjestad, Aruba

1.3 Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Model Name:	SPIRO CARD LEVEL 2
Trade Name:	SPIRO CARD
Brand Name:	NOXTAK
Test Frequency Bands:	802.11b
Modulation Mode:	802.11b: DSSS;

1.3.1 Photographs of the EUT

Please see for photographs of the EUT.



1.4 Applied Reference Documents

This report is based on EN62209-2, complete test according to customer's requirement.

No.	Identity	Document Title
1	EN 62209-2: 2010	Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures –Part 2: Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the head and body for 30MHz to 6GHz Handheld and Body-Mounted Devices used in close proximity to the body

1.5 Test Environment/Conditions

Normal Temperature (NT):	20 ... 25 °C
Relative Humidity:	30 ... 75 %
Air Pressure:	980 ... 1020 hPa
Test frequency:	802.11b
Operation mode:	Call established
Power Level:	802.11b Max output power

During SAR test, EUT is in Traffic Mode (Channel Allocated) at Normal Voltage Condition. A communication link is set up with a System Simulator (SS) by air link, and a call is established.

The EUT shall use its internal transmitter. The antenna(s), battery and accessories shall be those specified by the manufacturer. The EUT battery must be fully charged and checked periodically during the test to ascertain uniform power output. If a wireless link is used, the antenna connected to the output of the base station simulator shall be placed at least 50 cm away from the handset. The signal transmitted by the simulator to the antenna feeding point shall be lower than the output power level of the handset by at least 35 dB.



2. SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR)

2.1 Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are Middle than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

2.2 SAR Definition

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density.

(p). The equation description is as below:

$$\text{SAR} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by,

$$\text{SAR} = c \left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t} \right)$$

Where C is the specific head capacity, δT is the temperature rise and δt the exposure duration,

or related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$\text{SAR} = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

Where σ is the conductivity of the tissue, ρ is the mass density of the tissue and $|E|$ is the rms electrical field strength.

However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.

3. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

3.1 The Measurement System

Comosar is a system that is able to determine the SAR distribution inside a phantom of human being according to different standards. The Comosar system consists of the following items:

- Main computer to control all the system
- 6 axis robot
- Data acquisition system
- Miniature E-field probe
- Router holder
- Head simulating tissue

The following figure shows the system.



The EUT under test operating at the maximum power level is placed in the Router holder, under the phantom, which is filled with head simulating liquid. The E-Field probe measures the electric field inside the phantom. The OpenSAR software computes the results to give a SAR value in a 1g or 10g mass.

3.2 Probe


For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe SN 37/08 EP80 with following specifications is used

- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg

- Tip Diameter : 6.5 mm
- Distance between probe tip and sensor center: 2.5mm
- Distance between sensor center and the inner phantom surface: 4 mm (repeatability better than +/- 1mm)
- Probe linearity: <0.25 dB
- Axial Isotropy: <0.25 dB
- Spherical Isotropy: <0.25 dB
- Calibration range: 835 to 2500MHz for head & body simulating liquid.

Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°

Probe calibration is realized, in compliance with CENELEC EN 62209 and IEEE 1528 std, with CALISAR, Antennessa proprietary calibration system. The calibration is performed with the EN 622091 annexe technique using reference guide at the five frequencies.



$$SAR = \frac{4(P_{fw} - P_{bw})}{ab\delta} \cos^2\left(\pi \frac{y}{a}\right) c \quad (2z/\delta)$$

Where :

P_{fw} = Forward Power



P_{bw} = Backward Power

a and b = Waveguide dimensions

l = Skin depth

Keithley configuration:

Rate = Medium; Filter =ON; RDGS=10; FILTER TYPE =MOVING AVERAGE; RANGE AUTO

After each calibration, a SAR measurement is performed on a validation dipole and compared with a NPL calibrated probe, to verify it.

The calibration factors, CF(N), for the 3 sensors corresponding to dipole 1, dipole 2 and dipole 3 are:

$$CF(N)=SAR(N)/V_{lin}(N) \quad (N=1,2,3)$$

The linearised output voltage $V_{lin}(N)$ is obtained from the displayed output voltage $V(N)$ using

$$V_{lin}(N)=V(N)*(1+V(N)/DCP(N)) \quad (N=1,2,3)$$

where DCP is the diode compression point in mV.

3.3 Probe Calibration Process

3.3.1 Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each E-Probe/Probe Amplifier combination has unique calibration parameters. SATIMO Probe calibration procedure is conducted to determine the proper amplifier settings to enter in the probe parameters. The amplifier settings are determined for a given frequency by subjecting the probe to a known E-field density (1 mW/cm²) using an with CALISAR, Antenna proprietary calibration system.

3.3.2 Free Space Assessment Procedure

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This calibration can be performed in a TEM cell if the frequency is below 1 GHz and in a waveguide or other methodologies above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is rotated 360 degrees until the three channels show the maximum reading. The power density readings equates to 1 mW/cm².

3.3.3 Temperature Assessment Procedure

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulating head tissue. The E-field in the medium correlates with the temperature rise in the dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

Where:

δt = exposure time (30 seconds),

$$SAR = C \left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t} \right)$$

C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

δT = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to $\Delta T/\Delta t$, the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. The electric field in the simulated tissue can be used to estimate SAR by equating the thermally derived SAR to that with the E- field component.

Where:

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

σ = simulated tissue conductivity,


ρ = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm³ for brain tissue)

3.4 Phantom

For the measurements the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) defined by the IEEE SCC-34/SC2 group is used. The phantom is a polyurethane shell integrated in a wooden table. The thickness of the phantom amounts to 2mm +/- 0.2mm. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right Router usage and includes an additional flat phantom part for the simplified performance check. The phantom set-up includes a cover, which prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

3.5 Device Holder

The positioning system allows obtaining cheek and tilting position with a very good accuracy. In compliance with CENELEC, the tilt angle uncertainty is lower than 1°.



Device holder

System Material	Permittivity	Loss Tangent
Delrin	3.7	0.005



4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. The nominal dielectric values of the tissue simulating liquids in the phantom and the tolerance of 5% are listed in below table.

The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquids

Ingredients (% by weight)	Frequency Band	Frequency Band	Frequency Band	Frequency Band
	900MHz	1750MHz	1900MHz	2450MHz
Ingredients (% by weight)				
Deionised Water	50.31	52.64	54.90	62.70
Salt(NaCl)	1.35	0.36	0.18	0.50
Sugar	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Tween 20	48.34	0.00	0.00	0.00
HEC	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Bactericide	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Triton X-100	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.80
DGBE	0.00	47.00	44.92	0.00
Diethylenglycol monohexylether	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Target dielectric parameters				
Dielectric Constant	41.00	40.10	39.90	39.20
Conductivity (S/m)	0.98	1.37	1.42	1.80

Recipes for Tissue Simulating Liquid

The dielectric parameters of the liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an Agilent 85033E Dielectric Probe Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.



Table 1: Dielectric Performance of Human Tissue Simulating Liquid

Target value	2450MHz	39.20	1.80
Validation value (Sep. 20)	2450MHz	39.284446	1.836061



5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT

The following table includes the uncertainty table of the IEEE 1528. The values are determined by Antennessa.

5.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR HANDSET SAR TEST

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h= c*f/e	i= c*g/ e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+-%)	Prob Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	Vi
Measurement System									
Probe calibration	E.2.1	4.76	N	1	1	1	4.76	4.7	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.01	1.0	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.62	1.6	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.8	∞
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N	1	1	1	0.02	0.0	∞
Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1	∞
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	∞
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1 5	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.0 3	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.8 9	∞
Test sample Related									
Test sample positioning	E.4.2. 1	0.03	N	1	1	1	0.03	0.0 3	N- 1
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1. 1	5.00	N	1	1	1	5.00	5.0 0	N- 1



Output power Power drift - SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	4.04	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.33	2.3 3	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.0 3	∞
Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	4.57	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.1 3	∞
Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.00	N	1	0.64	0.43	3.20	2.1 5	M
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	3.69	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.0 4	∞
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10.0 0	N	1	0.6	0.49	6.00	4.9 0	M
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				11.55	10. 67	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)			K=2				23.11	21. 33	

5.2 UNCERTAINTY FOR SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h= c*f/e	i= c*g/ e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+-%)	Prob . Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	Vi
Measurement System									
Probe calibration	E.2.1	4.76	N	1	1	1	4.76	4.7	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.01	1.0	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.62	1.6	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.8	∞
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N	1	1	1	0.02	0.0	∞
Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	∞




Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1	∞
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	∞
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.0	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.8	∞
Dipole									
Dipole axis to liquid Distance	8,E.4. 2	1.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	∞
Input power and SAR drift measurement	8,6.6. 2	4.04	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.33	2.3	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.0	∞
Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	4.57	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.1	∞
Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.2	M
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	3.69	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.0	∞
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10.0 0	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	3.46	2.8	M
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				8.83	8.3	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)			K=2				17.66	16.	

6. SAR MEASUREMENT EVALUATION

6.1 System Setup

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave which comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It is performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurements in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system verification setup is shown as below.



The validation dipole is placed beneath the flat phantom with the specific spacer in place. The distance spacer is touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The power meter PM1 measures the forward power at the location of the system check dipole connector. The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power (250 mW is used for 700 MHz to 3 GHz, 100 mW is used for 3.5 GHz to 6 GHz) at the dipole connector and the power meter PM2 is read at that level. After connecting



the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter PM2.

6.2 Validation Results

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by SATIMO, the validation data should be within its specification of 10 %.

Frequency	2450MHz
Target value(10g)	23.86 W/Kg
250 mW input power	5.944 W/Kg
Normalized to 1W value (10g)	23.777 W/Kg

Note: System checks the specific test data please see page 31-32.

7. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST

7.1 Body-worn Configurations

The body-worn configurations shall be tested with the supplied accessories (belt-clips, holsters, etc.) attached to the device in normal use configuration. The depth of the body tissue was 15.1cm.

For body-worn and other configurations a flat phantom shall be used which is comprised of material with electrical properties similar to the corresponding tissues.




Illustration for Body Worn Position

7.2 Measurement procedure

The following steps are used for each test position

- Establish a call with the maximum output power with a base station simulator. The connection between the mobile and the base station simulator is established via air interface
- Measurement of the local E-field value at a fixed location. This value serves as a reference value for calculating a possible power drift.
- Measurement of the SAR distribution with a grid of 8 to 16mm * 8 to 16 mm and a constant distance to the inner surface of the phantom. Since the sensors can not directly measure at the inner phantom surface, the values between the sensors and the inner phantom surface are extrapolated. With these values the area of the maximum SAR is calculated by an interpolation scheme.
- Around this point, a cube of 30 * 30 * 30 mm or 32 * 32 * 32 mm is assessed by measuring 5 or 8 * 5 or 8*4 or 5 mm. With these data, the peak spatial-average SAR value can be calculated.

7.3 Description of interpolation/extrapolation scheme

The local SAR inside the phantom is measured using small dipole sensing elements inside a probe



body. The probe tip must not be in contact with the phantom surface in order to minimize measurements errors, but the highest local SAR will occur at the surface of the phantom.

An extrapolation is using to determinate this highest local SAR values. The extrapolation is based on a fourth-order least-square polynomial fit of measured data. The local SAR value is then extrapolated from the liquid surface with a 1mm step.

The measurements have to be performed over a limited time (due to the duration of the battery) so the step of measurement is high. It could vary between 5 and 8 mm. To obtain an accurate assessment of the maximum SAR averaged over 10 grams and 1 gram requires a very fine resolution in the three dimensional scanned data array.



8. TEST RESULTS LIST

Summary of Measurement Results for Router (802.11b Band)

Temperature: 21.0~23.8°C, humidity: 54~60%.					
Phantom Configurations	Device Test Positions	Antenna Positions	SAR(W/Kg), 10g value		
			Device Test channel		
			Channel 1	Channel 7	Channel 11
Body	Back upward	Internal	/	0.104	/

Summary of Measurement Results for Router with the anti radiation card(802.11b Band)

Temperature: 21.0~23.8°C, humidity: 54~60%.					
Phantom Configurations	Device Test Positions	Antenna Positions	SAR(W/Kg), 10g value		
			Device Test channel		
			Channel 1	Channel 7	Channel 11
Body	Back upward	Internal	/	0.011	/



ANNEX A PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EUT


1. The Photograph of Router



2. The Photograph of anti radiation card



3. Router Back upward Position



4. Router with the anti radiation card Back upward Position





REPORT No. : SZ15100123S02

Liquid Level Photo





ANNEX B GRAPH TEST RESULTS

BAND	<u>PARAMETERS</u>
<u>802.11b</u>	<u>Measurement 1:</u> Flat with Body device position on Middle Channel in GSM mode for Router <u>Measurement 2:</u> Flat with Body device position on Middle Channel in GSM mode for Router with the anti radiation card



MEASUREMENT 1

Type: Router measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2015.10.26

Measurement duration: 9 minutes 30 seconds

A. Experimental conditions.


Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt
Phantom	Flat
Device Position	Body
Band	802.11b
Channels	Middle
Signal	DSSS

B. SAR Measurement Results


Middle Band SAR (Channel 7)

Frequency (MHz)	2442.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.284446
Conductivity (S/m)	1.836061
Power drift (%)	2.290000
Ambient Temperature:	22.0°C
Liquid Temperature:	21.8°C
ConvF:	4.80
Crest factor:	1:1

SURFACE SAR



VOLUME SAR







Maximum location: X=25.00, Y=-27.00

SAR Peak: 0.22 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.104550
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.145362



MEASUREMENT 2

Type: Router measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2015.10.26

Measurement duration: 9 minutes 30 seconds

A. Experimental conditions.


Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt
Phantom	Flat
Device Position	Body
Band	802.11b
Channels	Middle
Signal	DSSS

B. SAR Measurement Results


Middle Band SAR (Channel 7)

Frequency (MHz)	2442.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.284446
Conductivity (S/m)	1.836061
Power drift (%)	1.200000
Ambient Temperature:	22.0°C
Liquid Temperature:	21.8°C
ConvF:	4.80
Crest factor:	1:1

SURFACE SAR



VOLUME SAR







Maximum location: X=-13.00, Y=53.00

SAR Peak: 0.13 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.010953
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.025641





System Performance Check Data(2450MHz)

Type: Router measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2015.10.26

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 31 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.

Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt
Phantom	Flat
Device Position	
Band	2450MHz
Channels	
Signal	CW

B. SAR Measurement Results

Band SAR

Frequency (MHz)	2450.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.284446
Conductivity (S/m)	1.836061
Power Drift (%)	1.080000
Ambient Temperature:	22.0°C
Liquid Temperature:	21.8°C
ConvF:	4.96
Crest factor:	1:1








Maximum location: X=6.00, Y=1.00

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	5.944250
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	12.552074

Z Axis Scan

Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR (W/Kg)	0.0000	12.9615	6.2096	3.8187	2.4504	1.5036	1.0219



<p>3D scene shot</p> 	<p>Hot spot position</p> 
---	--



ANNEX C GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Identification of the Responsible Testing Laboratory

Company Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd.
Department:	Morlab Laboratory
Address:	FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, Guangdong Province, P. R. China
Responsible Test Lab Manager:	Mr. Su Feng
Telephone:	+86 755 36698555
Facsimile:	+86 755 36698525

2. Identification of the Responsible Testing Location

Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd. Morlab Laboratory
Address:	FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, Guangdong Province, P. R. China

**3. List of Test Equipments**

No.	Instrument	Type	Cal. Date	Cal. Due
1	PC	Dell (Pentium IV 2.4GHz, SN:X10-23533)	(n.a)	(n.a)
2	Network Emulator	Agilent(8960, SN:10752)	2015-2-21	1year
3	Voltmeter	Keithley (2000, SN:1000572)	2015-8-24	1year
4	Synthetizer	Rohde&Schwarz (SML_03, SN:101868)	2015-8-24	1year
5	Amplifier	Nucl udes (ALB216, SN:10800)	2015-8-24	1year
6	Power Meter	Rohde&Schwarz (NRVD, SN:101066)	2015-8-24	1year
7	Probe	Satimo (SN:SN 37/08 EP80)	2015-8-17	1year
8	Phantom	Satimo (SN:SN_36_08_SAM62)	2014-8-24	1year
9	Liquid	Satimo (Last Calibration: 2015-10-22)	N/A	N/A
10	Dipole 900MHz	Satimo (SN 36/08 DIPD100)	2014-9-22	3year
11	Dipole 1750MHz	Satimo (SN 30/13 DIP1G750-260)	2014-9-22	13ear

***** END OF REPORT *****